### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED \_88 161 CG 020 335

AUTHOR Shean, Glenn; Rohrbaugh, Michael

TITLE Agoraphobia and Paradigm Strain: A Family Systems

Perspective.

PUB DATE Mar 87

NOTE 18p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the

Southeastern Psychological Association (33rd,

Atlanta, GA, March 25-28, 1987).

PUB TYPE Viewpoints (120) -- Speeches/Conference Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS \*Anxiety; \*Counseling Techniques; \*Family Influence;

Models; \*Systems Approach

IDENTIFIERS \*Agoraphobia; \*Family Systems Theory

#### LBSTRACT

Agoraphobia is an increasingly common, often chronically incapacitating anxiety disorder. Both behavior therapy and pharmacotherapy can be effective in reducing the intensity of agoraphobic symptoms. There are promising new developments, however, from a family systems perspective. Researchers are finding that an agoraphobic's marriage and family relationships are profoundly relevant to understanding this disorder and the long-term results of treatment. Four empirically supported propositional statements are relevant to the role of family interactional factors in agoraphobia: (1) agoraphobia occurs in highly complementary relationships, particularly marriages organized according to traditional sex-roles; (2) close relatives of agoraphobics, particularly their spouses, often are reported to have equally serious problems; (3) agoraphobic symptoms arise in response to real or anticipated changes in vital relationships and function to preserve former patterns; and (4) symptomatic improvement may have negative repercussions in the family system. Two interactional systems models which most readily accommodate these findings are the functional/structural view and the accidental/sequential model. Therapists must address the question of which levels of system-individual, couple patient-family, or patient-family-helpers, have priority for intervention under what circumstances. Implicit in the interactional systems view is the idea that broader levels of context are most relevant. (NB)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

from the original document.



# AGORAPHOBIA AND PARADIGM STRAIN: A FAMILY SYSTEMS PERSPECTIVE

Agoraphobia is an increasingly common, often chronically incapacitating anxiety disorder recognized in DSM III (American Psychiatric Association, 1980). The incidence of agoraphobia in our society is relatively high (.5% according to DSM III) and rising. The disorder has received considerable attention in the professional literature and in the popular media, as phobia clinics and self-help organizations proliferate across the country.

There is substantial evidence that both behavior therapy and pharmacotherapy can be effective in reducing the intensity of agoraphobic symptoms. In fact, phobic disorders have been hailed as "psychotherapy's greatest success story" (Rosenhan and Seligman, 1984). Not surprisingly, the dominant contemporary theoretical models of agoraphobia are rooted in biopsychiatry and learning theory-paradigms which lead clinicians to focus on the individual patient rather than the context of intimate relationships in which such symptoms occur. From a family systems viewpoint, however, there are promising new developments: Researchers and clinicians, primarily behaviorists, are finding that the agoraphobic's current marriage and family relationships are profoundly relevant to understanding this disorder and the long-term results of treatment.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy "PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Gknn Sheen

Glenn Shean

Michael Rohrbaugh

College of William and Mary

Williamsburg, VA 23185

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) "



2.

One purpose of this paper is to review these developments which, in our view, strain the linear, individualistic paradigms from which they have arisen. Another is to recast existing observations and evidence into an interactional paradigm based on cybernetic and systems ideas. We propose that interactional models of agoraphobia not only account for much of the current data, but have implications for treatment not derivable from competing paradigms.

## The Paradiomatic Lens

Despite general agreement on the descriptive clinical picture in agoraphobia, theoretical formulations vary widely. It is difficult to overestimate the extent to which the paradigmatic lens through which we view a disorder influences what we pay attention to and what we do not. This point is especially important when family interactional factors are considered since the more established psychodynamic, biological, and behavioral paradigms effectively constrain how we think about family interaction, and sometimes distract attention from such influences entirely. From the perspective of interactional family systems a most fundamental constraint stems from the assumption that agoraphobia is a disorder of one person.

Research has shown that antidepressant medication can be effective in controlling the panic attacks assumed by biological theorists to be the core of the agoraphobic syndrome. Exposure based behavioral therapies have been reported to be equally effective. In a review of behavioral outcome research, however, Barlow and Mavissikalian (1981) have poinced out that while 60-75% of treated phobics improve, only 4-18% become totally symptom-free. These statistics, furthermore, are based on patients who completed treatment; yet agoraphobic petients are noted for



dropping out of therapy, with attrition rates in some studies as high as 30%. Viewed in this way, outcome data are less impressive since barely half of the agoraphobics treated in research settings may be getting better. To complicate the outcome picture further, there are reports that exposure therapies may be less effective for male agoraphobics than for females (Guidan and Liotti, 1976). In any case, it is premature to conclude that the therapeautic book on agoraphobia is closed.

Given the constraints of current individually focussed paradigms, it is surprising how often factors other than individual patient behaviors or character≰ have been reported as ¥relevant to treatment in the literature on agoraphobia. It has been repeatedly observed, for example, that the disorder occurs in highly complementary, dominant-submissive relationships; that married patients show an exaggerated dependence on a "well" spouse who appears to be reinforced by this dependence (Agulnik, 1970; Berguer, 1977); and that symptomatic improvement is often accompanied by increased marital conflict or the appearance of symptoms in the spouse (Milton and Hafner, 1979; Hafner, 1984). Some therapists have even suggested that the agoraphobic syndrome may only occur in patients who feel trapped in a difficult interpersonal relationship (Goldstein & Chambless, 1981). Others recommend that the spouse should routinely be included in exposure treatment as a co-therapist (Vandereycken, 1983) and for some couples at least, this improves outcome (Barlow, O'Brien and Last, 1984).

In the next section of this paper we will outline four empirically supported prepositional statements and supporting evidence about the role of family interactional factors in agoraphobia.



- 1. Agaraphobia occurs in highly complementary relationships, particularly marriages organized according to traditional sex-roles.

  Webster (1953) cited evidence over thirty years ago that phobic systems are part of a mutual caretaking strategy in which the wife's dependency needs are met by the husband who in turn is helped to feel competent and ignore his own problems. Many authors have reported evidence and observations of patterns of highly complementary relationships, e.g., interactions characterized by exchanges of opposite behavior (dominance-submission, helplessness-nurturance) in the marriages of agoraphobic patients (Agulnick, 1970; Pergner, 1977; Fodor, 1974; Goodstein & Swift, 1977; Hafner, 1977; Liotti & Guidane, 1976). In cases where agoraphobia occurs outside of a marriage, interaction around the symptoms has also been reported to be highly complementary (Goldstein, 1982; Guidano & Liotti, 1983).
- 2. Close relatives of agoraphobics, particularly their spouses, often are reported to have equally serious problems. Many of the clinical reports cited above also make reference to appearent dysfunction of the patient's spouse or other family members. Husbands of agoraphobic women, for example, have been variously described as rigid, detached, jealous, insecure, sexually inadequate and neurotic (Bergner, 1977; Goodstein and Swift, 1977; Hafner, 1970; Quaddrio, 1984) and some mothers of agoraphobic daughters have been reported to be remitted agoraphobics themselves (Goldstein, 1982). Agulnik (1970) has reported high correlations between neuroticism scores of spouses and agoraphobic patients as has Hafner (1977).



- 3. Agoraphobic symptoms arise in response to real or anticipated changes in vital relationships and function to preserve former patterns. There is evidence that the onset of agoraphobia is correlated with actual or portended shifts in intimate relationships, some of which are inevitable in the family life cycle. Guidano and Liotti (1983) report that agoraphobic symptom onset most commonly occurred: 1) just before or shortly after marriage; 2) when the patient is about to leave home or become more independent; 3) when new affective relationships are formed outside the family; 4) after important life events such as a loss, the birth of a child, or a change in work that results in more or less independence for one partner; 5) during a marital crisis. Similar observations have been reported by Goldstein and Chambless (1978) who note that agoraphobic symptoms usually arise in a climate of interpersonal conflict often associated with one partner's moves to change a relationship. Liotti and Guidano (1976) have provided vivid descriptions of the manner in which symptom onset forestalls relationship change and preserves complementary, albeit unsatisfactory, interaction patterns.
- 4. Symptomatic improvement may have negative repercussions in the family system. The idea that symptoms provide interpersonal benefits or "secondary gains" for the patient is not new. Interactional formulations, on the other hand, emphasize the system-stabilizing protective function of symptoms. Clinical reports tend to confirm this view. Spouses reportedly sabotage treatment (Emmelkamp, 1974; Hafner, 1982), and symptomatic improvement is often accompanied by increased marital discord and dissatisfaction (Goodstein and Swift, Hand and Lamonstagne, 1976; Milton and Hafner, 1979; Hafner, 1984). Of particular interest is a series of studies by Hafner and his associates. Hafner (1971) found that the



husbands of 33 patients, who improved following brief exposure therapy, evidenced increased scores on measures of neuroticism concommitant with improvement in their wives' symptoms. In a number of cases, the husband became symptomatic himself after the wife improved (Hafner, 1977). Later, when some of the wives relapsed, their husbands improved. Similar observations of mother-daughter dyads have been reported by Goldstein (1982). In a partial replication (Milton and Hafner, 1979), 9 of 18 marriages appeared to be adversely affected by symptomatic improvement in the identified patient. Furthermore, marital dissatisfaction has been reported to be predictive of outcome of exposure treatment (Blend and Hallam, 1981) and relapse (Milton and Hafner, 1979). In a recent study, Hafner (1984) reported two distinct patterns of marital response to rapid improvement in the wives' symptoms following exposure treatment. In both groups continued symptomatic improvement at one-year follow-up depended on the couple's success in resolving "sex-role issues". At present, many behavior therapists acknowledge the role of marital dynamics in agoraphobia and recommend including the spouse in treatment (Vanderecycken, 1983). Experimental studies (Barlow, et. al., 1984) have confirmed that including the spouse as co-therapist improves outcome of behavioral treatment and may in some cases have more to do with positive outcome than exposure treatment per se.

The above findings seem to strain the linear, individualistic paradigm of learning theory from which most evidence of the role of family interactive factors in agoraphobia has been derived. If however, agoraphobia is conceptually localized within one person, interactive processes are split off as additional stress factors, motivating conditions, or sources of secondary gain -- and the relationship



(marriage) is important only insofar as it complicates the treatment process. From an interactive perspective this artificial separation of symptom from system is misleading. By formulating agoraphobia in terms of ongoing circular interaction patterns which both maintain and are maintained by the symptom, the unit of analysis and intervention expands to encompass not only the patient and his or her own self-defeating attempts to solve the problem, but the rule governed structure of the marriage (or family) relationship pattern itself.

## Toward an Interactional View

The family interactional view is based loosely on cybernetics and systems theory. A key assumption is that regardless of how problems originate, they persist as aspects of current, ongoing interaction cycles. Cybernetic feedback processes provide a framework for understanding how symptoms are maintained, which from an interactional perspective is of greater interest than etiological speculation or linear notions of cause and effect. It is further assumed that problems exist not so much with a person as between persons — that "symptoms" and interactive systems are inextricably interwoven. Thus, the interactional paradigm offers a different way of understanding what agoraphobia is, the fear of leaving home unescorted is less an abnormality of one person than an element in a recursive interaction process that requires several people for its maintenance.

Interactive systems models have evolved in two directions since the 1950s. One model we will refer to as a <u>functional/structural</u> formulation and the other an <u>accidental/sequential</u> formulation of interactive systems. Each model is "systemic" in its focus on ecological, cybernetic



formulations of problem maintenance, and "strategic" in that the therapist intervenes deliberately, on the basis of a specific plan, to resolve the presenting problem as efficiently as possible. We believe these models most readily accommodate the evidence reviewed above.

The functional/structural view assumes that symptoms "function" as stabilizing factors within an interpersonal system and maintain the relationship "structure" in which they occur. Negative feedback formulations (Haley, 1976; Minuchin, 1974) explain how family systems maintain stability through symptomatic behavior. In error-activated fashion, increases in one variable are linked to decreases in another, keeping some key system parameter within tolerable limits. Thus, increasing symptoms in one spouse may be associated with decreasing distress in the other; or the stablilizing variable could be a property of the marriage itself, e.g., the balance of power between spouses or the level of open conflict and aggression they express. When the agoraphobic's highly complementary, dominant-submissive marriage shifts toward symmetry, the appearance of symptoms may stabilize the relationship, though usually in a conflictual and unsatisfactory way. Such a formulation is consistent with the clinical observations and research findings discussed above. From a triadic view, symptomatic dysfunction reflects dominant alliances and coalitions which cross generation lines. There is little direct evidence linking triadic patterns to agoraphobia, but in our experience they are common.

Therapy based on the functional/structural model would attempt to shift the relationship structure toward symmetry at a dyadic level, and at the triadic level reinforce generational boundaries in such a way that the symptoms are disentangled from their hypothesized stabilizing functions.



Spouse aided co-therapy fits well with the strategic principle of respecting complimentarity and avoiding direct challenge of the problematic relationship.

The accidental/sequential model, developed by Fish, Weakland,
Watzlawick (1982) and others, views problem maintenance as a simple
positive feedback loop centering around well-intentioned but inappropriate
attempts to solve the problem. More of the same solution leads to more of
the problem and so on, in an ever-escalating cycle. It is assumed that
problems would be self-limiting were it not for the persistent but
misguided problem-solving attempts of the people involved. Attention is
focussed on the specific, highly repetitive sequences with the patient and
his or her spouse, family and helpers engage in around the symptoms. In
agoraphobia, oscillating patterns of reassurance, overprotection,
hypervigilance or withdrawal often interlock with the symptoms — any of
which could be a target for strategic intervention. The goal would be to
persuade the spouse(s)/helpers to do less of the same, using whatever
rationale he, she or they would be likely to accept.

## Systems, Levels and Paradicu

Therapists must address the question of which levels of system-individual, couple patient-family, or patient-family-helpers, have priority for intervention under what circumstances. When problem patterns are identified at several levels simultaneously, where is the best level to intervene? Implicit in the interactional systems view is that broader levels of context are most relevant. This implies, and our reading of the agoraphobia literature supports the view, that expanding the conceptual problem unit will be fruitful clinically.



#### References

- Agras, W.S., Sylvester, D., & Oliveau, D. (1969). The epidemiology of common fears and phobias. <u>Comprehensive Psychiatry</u>, 10, 151-156.
- Agras, W.S., Chapin, H.N., & Oliveau, D.C. (1972). The natural history of phobia. Archives of General Psychiatry, 26, 315-317.
- Agulnik, P.L. (1970). The spouse of the phobic patient.

  Pritish Journal of Psychiatry, 117, 59-67.
- Anderson, C.H., Hogarty, G., & Reiss, D.J. (1980). Family treatment of adult schizophrenic patients: A psychoeducational approach. <u>Schizophrenia Bulletin</u>, 6, 490-505.
- Barlow, D.H., & Mavissikalian, M. (1981). Directions in the assessment and treatment of phobia: The next decade. In M. Mavissikalian & D.H. Barlow (Eds.), <u>Phobia: Psychological and Pharmacological treatment</u>. New York: Guilford Press.
- Barlow, D.H., Mavissikalian, M., & Hay, L.R. (1981). Couples treatment of agoraphobia: Changes in marital satisfaction. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 19, 245-255.
- Barlow, D.H., O'Brien, G.T., & Last, C.G. (1984). Couples treatment of agoraphobia. <u>Behavior Therapy</u>, 15, 41-58.
- Bateson, G. (1972). <u>Steps\_to\_an\_ecology\_of\_mind</u>. New York: Random House.
- Beck, A.T., Laude, R., & Bohnert, M. (1974). Ideational components of anxiety neurosis. <u>Archives of General Psychiatry</u>, 31, 319-325.
- Bergner, R.M. (1977). The marital system of the hysterical individual. <u>Family\_Process</u>, <u>16</u>, 83-96.
- Bland, K., & Haılam, R.S. (1981). Relationship between response to



- graded exposure and marital satisfaction in agoraphobics. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 19, 335-338.
- Bowlby, J. (1973). Attachment and loss, Yol. 2. Separation.
  London: Hogarth Press.
- Buglass, D., Clarke, J., Henderson, A.S., Kreitman, N., & Presley, A.S. (1977). A study of agoraphobic housewives. <u>Psychological</u> Medicine, 7, 73-86.
- Burns, L.E., & Thorpe, G.L. (1977). Fears and clinical phobias:

  Epidemiological aspects and the national survey of agoraphobics.

  Journal of International Medical Research, 5 (Suppl. 1), 132-139.
- Chambless, D. (1982). Characteristics of agoraphobics. In D.L.

  Chambless & A.J. Goldstein (Eds.), <u>Agoraphobia: Multiple</u>

  <u>perspectives on theory and treatment</u>. New York: Wiley & Sons.
- Chambless, D.L., & Goldstein, A.J., Eds. (1982). Agoraphobia:

  Multiple\_perspectives\_on\_theory\_and\_treatment. New York: Wiley & Sons.
- Chambless, D.L., & Goldstein, A.J. (1981). Clinical treatment of agoraphobia. In M. Mavisikalian & D.H. Barlow (Eds.),

  <u>Phobia: Psychological and pharmacolgical treatment</u>. New York:
  Guilford Press, 1981.
- Charney, D., & Heninger, G. (1985). Increased anxiogenic effects of caffein in panic disorders. <u>Archives of General Psychiatry</u>, 42, 233-243.
- Cobb, J., McDonald, R., Marks, I., & Stern, R. (1980). Marital versus exposure therapy: Psychological treatments of co-existing marital and phobic-obsessive problems. <u>Behaviour Analysis and</u>

  Mcdification, 4, 3-16.



- Cobb, J., Mathews, A., Childs-Clarke, A., & Blowers, C. (1984). The spouse as co-therapist in the treatment of agoraphobia. <u>British</u>
  <u>Journal of Psychiatry</u>, 44, 282-287.
- Emmelkamp, P.M.G. (1974). Self-observation versus flooding in the treatment of agoraphobia. <u>Behaviour Research and Therapy</u>, 12, 229-237.
- Emmelkamp, P.M.G. (1980). Agoraphobics' interpersonal problems.

  <u>Archives\_of\_general\_Psychiatry</u>, 37, 1303-1306.
- Emmelkamp, P.M.G. (1982). In vivo treatment of agoraphobia. In D.L. Chambless & A.J. Goldstein (Eds.), <u>Agoraphobia</u>. New York: Wiley.
- Emmelkamp, P.M.G., & Mersch, P.P. (1982). Cognition and exposure in vivo in the treatment of agoraphobia: Short-term and delayed effects. Cognitive Research and Therapy, 6, 77-90.
- Emmelkamp, P.M.G., & Van der Hout, A. Failure in treating agoraphobia. In E.B. Foa & P.M.G. Emmelkamp (Eds.), <u>Failures\_in</u> <u>behavior\_therapy</u>. New York: John Wiley.
- Falloon, I.R.H., Boyd, J.L., & McGill, C.W. (1984). Family care of Schizophrenia. New York: Guilford Press.
- Fisch, R., Weakland, J.H., & Segal, L. (1982). <u>The tactics of change</u>.

  San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Fodor, I.E. (1974). The phobic syndrome in women. In V. Franks & V. Burtle (Eds.), Women in therapy. New York: Brunner/Mazel.
- Freud, S. (1932). <u>New\_introductory\_lectures\_on\_psychoanalysis</u>.
  London: Hogarth Press.
- Fry, W. (1962). The marital context of an anxiety syndrome. Eamily Process, 1, 245-252.
- Goldstein, A.J. (1982). Agoraphobia: Treatment successes, treatment



- failures, and theoretical implications. In D.L. Chambless & A.J. Goldstein (Eds.), Appraghobia. New York: Wiley.
- Goldstein, A.J. & Chambless, D.L. (1978). A reanalysis of agoraphobia. <u>Behavior Therapy</u>, 9, 47-59.
- Goodstein, R., & Swift, K. (1977). Psychotherapy with phobic patients: The marriage relationship as the source of symptoms and the focus of treatment. <u>American Journal of Psychotherapy</u>, 31, 285-292.
- Guidano, V.F., & Liotti, G. (1983). <u>Cognitive processes and emotional</u>
  <u>disorders</u>. New York: Guilford Press.
- Hafner, R.J. (1976). Fresh symptom emergence after intensive behaviour therapy. <u>British Journal of Psychiatry</u>, <u>129</u>, 378-383.
- Hafner, R.J. (1977). The husbands of agoraphobic women: Assortative mating or pathogenic interaction? <u>British Journal of Psychiatry</u>, 130, 233-239.
- Hafner, R.J. (1981). Spouse-aided therapy in psychiatry: An introduction. <u>Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry</u>, 15, 329-337.
- Hafner, R.J. (1982). The marital context of the agoraphobic syndrome. In D.L. Chambless & A.J. Goldstein (Eds.),

  <u>Aggraphobia</u>. New York: Guilford.
- Hafner, R.J. (1984). The marital repercussions of behavior therapy for agoraphobia. <u>Psychotherapy</u>, 4, 530-542.
- Haley, J. (1976). Froblem-solving therapy. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Haley, J. (1980). Leaving home. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Hand, I., & Lamontagne, Y. (1976). The exascerbation of



- inter-personal problems after rapid phobia removal.

  Esychotherapy: Theory, research & Practice, 1976, 13, 405-411.
- Hand, I., Spoehring, B., & Stanik, E. (1977). Treatment of obsessions, complusions and phobias as hidden couple-counseling. In J.C. Boulogouris & A.D. Rabavilas (Eds.), <u>The treatment of phobic and obsessive-compulsive disorders</u>. Oxford: Pergamon Press, 1977.
- Hoffman, L. (1981). Foundations of family therapy. New York: Basic Books.
- Hoover, C.F., & Insel, T.R. (1984). Families of origin in obsessive-compulsive disorder. <u>Journal of Nervous and Mental</u>
  <u>Diseases</u>, 172, 207-215.
- Jacob, R., Miller, M., Turner, S., & Wall, C. (1985).

  Otoneurological examination in panic disorder and agoraphobia with panic attacks: A pilot study. American Journal of Esychiatry, 142, 715-720.
- Kuhr, T. (1962). The structure of scientific revolutions. Univ. of Chicago Press.
- Lazarus, A. (1966). Broad-spectrum behavior therapy and the treatment of agoraphobia. <u>Behaviour Research and Therapy</u>, 4, 95-97.
- Liebowitz, M.R., & Klein, D.F. (1982). Agoraphobia: Clinical features, pathophysiology, and treatment. In D.L. Chambless & A.J. Goldstein (Eds.), <u>Agoraphobia</u>. New York: Wiley.
- Liotti, G., & Guidano, V. (1976). Behavioural analysis of marital interaction in agoraphobic male patients. <u>Behaviour Research and Therapy</u>, 14, 161-162.



- Madanes, C. (1981). <u>Strategic family therapy</u>. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Marks, I.M. (1981). New developments in psychological treatments of phobias. In M. Mavissikalian & D.H. Barlow (Eds.), <u>Phobia</u>. New York: Guilford Press.
- Marks, I.M., & Herst, E.R. (1970). A survey of 1200 agoraphobics in Britain. Social Psychiatry, 5, 16-24.
- Mathews, A., Teasdale, J., Munby, M., Johnston, J.D., & Shaw, p. (1977). A home-based treatment program for agoraphobia.

  <u>Behavior Therapy</u>, 8, 915-924.
- Mathews, A.M., Gelder, M.G., & Johnston, D.W. (1981).

  Afgraphobia: Nature and treatment. New York: Guilford Press.
- Michelson, L., & Mavissakalian, M. (1985). Psychophysiological outcome of behavioral and pharmacological treatments of agoraphobia. <u>Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology</u>, 53, 229-236.
- Milton, F., & Hafner, R.J. (1979). The outcome of behavior therapy for agoraphobia in relation to marital adjustment.

  <u>Archives of General Psychiatry</u>, 36, 807-811.
- Minuchin, S. (1974). <u>Families and family therapy</u>. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Guadrio, C. (1983). Rapunzel and the pumpkin-eater: Marital systems of agoraphobic women. <u>Australian Journal of Family Therapy</u>,
  4, 81-85.
- Rohrbaugh, M., & Eron, J.B. (1982). The strategic systems therapies. In L.E. Abt& I.R. Stuart (Eds.), <u>The newer</u> therapies: A sourcebook. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold.



- Rosenhan, F.L., & Seligman, M.E.F. (1984). <u>Abnormal psychology</u>.

  New York: W.W. Norton.
- Selvini-Palazzoli, M., Boscolo, L., Cecchin, G., & Frata, G. (1978). <u>Paradox and counterparadox</u>. New York: Jason Aronson.
- Sheehan, D.V. (1982). Panic attacks and phobias. New\_England

  Journal\_of\_Medicine, 307, 156-158.
- Sheehan, D.V. (1983). The anxiety disease. New York: Scribner's.
- So?yom, L., Silberfeld, M., & Solyom, C. (1976). Maternal overprotection in the etiology of agoraphobia.

  <u>Canadian Psychiatric Association Journal</u>, 21, 109-113.
- Telch, M.J., Tearnan, B.H., & Taylor, C.B. (1983). Antidepressant medication in the treatment of agoraphobia: A critical review.

  <u>Behaviour Research and Therapy</u>, <u>21</u>, 505-517.
- Thyer, S., Neese, R., Cameron, G., & Curtis, G. (1985).

  Agoraphobia: A test of the separation anxiety hypothesis.

  <u>Behavior Research and Therapy</u>, 23, 75-78.
- Tucker, D.W. (1956). Diagnosis and treatment of the phobic reaction. <u>American Journal of Psychiatry</u>, 112, 825-830.
- Tyrer, P., Candy, J., & Kelly, D. (1973). A study of the climical effects of phenelzine and placebo in the treatment of phobic anxiety. Psychopharmacologia, 32, 237-254.
- Vandereycken, W. (1983). Agoraphobia and marital relationship:

  Theory, treatment and research. <u>Clinical Psychology Review</u>, 3, 317-338.
- Watzlawick, P., & Weakland, J.H. (1977). <u>The interactional view</u>.

  New York: W.W. Norton.
- Watzlawick, P., Weakland, J.H., & Fisch, R. (1974). Charine. New



York: W.W. Norton.

- Weakland, J.H., Fisch, R., Watzlawick, P., & Bodin, A. (1974).

  Brief therapy: Focused problem resolution. Family\_Frocess, 13, 141-168.
- Webster, A.S. (1953). The development of phobias in married women.

  Psychological Monographs, 67 (Whole No. 367).
- Westphal, C. (1871-72). Die agorphobie: Eine neuropathische erschein. Archiv fur Psychiatrie und Nervenkrankheiten, 3, 138-171, 219-221.

